

MODERN METHODS OF DIAGNOSIS AND TREATMENT OF PATIENTS WITH MIRIZZI SYNDROME**N. A. Qurbonov¹, S. S. Davlatov², M. M. Amonov³**¹Samarkand State Medical Institute, Samarkand, Uzbekistan²Bukhara State Medical Institute, Bukhara, Uzbekistan³Sultan Zaynal Abidin University, Terengganu, Malaysia.

Ключевые слова: синдром Мирizzi, желчные протоки, диагностика, компьютерная томография, ретроградная холангиопанкреатография.

Таянч сўзлар: Мирizzi синдроми, ўт йўллари, диагностика, компьютерли томография, ретроград панкреато-холангиография.

Key words: Mirizzi syndrome, bile ducts, diagnostics, computed tomography, retrograde cholangiopancreatography.

**МИРИЗЗИ СИНДРОМ БИЛАН БЕМОРЛАРНИ ЗАМОНАВИЙ
ДИАГНОСТИКА ВА ДАВО УСУЛЛАРИ****Н. А. Курбонов¹, С. С. Давлатов², М. М. Амонов³**¹Самарқанд давлат тиббиёт институти, Самарқанд, Ўзбекистон²Бухоро давлат тиббиёт институти, Бухоро, Ўзбекистон³Султан Зайнал Абидин университети, Теренггану, Малайзия.**СОВРЕМЕННЫЕ МЕТОДЫ ДИАГНОСТИКИ И ЛЕЧЕНИЯ ПАЦИЕНТОВ
С СИНДРОМОМ МИРИЗЗИ****Н. А. Курбонов¹, С. С. Давлатов², М. М. Амонов³**¹Самаркандский государственный медицинский институт, Самарканд, Узбекистан²Бухарский государственный медицинский институт, Бухара, Узбекистан³Университет Султан Зайнал Абидин, Теренггану, Малайзия

Mirizzi syndrome (MS) is one of the least understood concepts in bile duct surgery. This is due to the rarity of this pathology. This disease occurs according to the literature, from 0.5 to 5% among all patients operated on for gallstone disease [6, 12, 13, 17]. In connection with the progress of biliary tract surgery, an increase in the incidence of cholelithiasis, interest in this problem has increased in recent years. However, there is still no consensus on the diagnosis and tactics of surgical treatment [1, 2, 7, 15]. MS is often diagnosed only during surgery, which increases the percentage of access conversion and the risk of trauma to the common bile duct [9, 18].

The main clinical manifestations of MS are pain in the right hypochondrium, jaundice and fever as a result of associated cholangitis [4, 8, 12]. It is practically difficult to isolate the clinical symptoms that distinguish this syndrome from others that occur with obstructive jaundice. Differential diagnosis is carried out with such diseases and conditions as choledocholithiasis, common bile duct cancer, gallbladder cancer, pancreatic cancer, pseudotumorous pancreatitis, compression of the common bile duct with metastatic lymph nodes, sclerosing cholangitis, etc. [3, 18]. Diagnosis of MS is developing in parallel with the progress of technical equipment in medicine. If at the origins of the description of the syndrome is only intraoperative cholangiography, now all new technologies are coming to the aid of the surgeon. Ultrasound examination (ultrasound) of the abdominal cavity is a routine method for detecting pathology of the pancreato-duodenal zone. This method is also a screening method for MS. But, according to different authors, the sensitivity of this method is quite low and varies from 4 to 46% [7, 8, 20, 21, 25]. Such characteristics according to the results of ultrasound, such as a shrunken gallbladder in the presence of dilated intrahepatic ducts with a normal size of the distal common bile duct, allow one to suspect SM at the initial stage of the examination [16, 20].

Methods of direct contrast enhancement of bile ducts have been the standard in preoperative diagnosis of MS for several decades. Among them, the most commonly used endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) [1, 5, 7]. Hakim H. A. N. et al. (2020) indicate 100% sensitivity of this method in the diagnosis of Hassan R. et al. (2019) believe that the differential

diagnosis between compression of the proximal part of the common bile duct and its stricture is of great importance for the choice of the scope of the operation. To exclude the tumor nature of the disease, the authors propose to use a set of techniques, consisting of endoscopic papillotomy performed for diagnostic purposes, instrumental revision of the biliary tract and selective cholangiography of the deformed part of the duct. At the same time, the authors emphasize that the use of additional diagnostic techniques in general increases the invasiveness of the study, and therefore the indications for their implementation must be limited. Other methods of direct contrasting of the bile ducts, such as percutaneous transhepatic cholangiography (PTSC), cholecystocholangiography, are less relevant in the diagnosis of MS due to their higher invasiveness. In addition, when performing PTCG, difficulties arise in visualizing the distal common bile duct due to an obstacle located above [13, 14].

Despite the fact that ERCP plays a leading role in the preoperative diagnosis of SM, it should not be forgotten that approximately 6–22% of patients fail to cannulate the large duodenal papilla or achieve visualization of the entire common bile duct [1, 7]. Also, after ERCP and endoscopic retrograde papillosphincterotomy (EPST), there is a risk of severe complications such as pancreatitis, cholangitis, bleeding, and sepsis [7, 9, 30]. And although the likelihood of them is quite low, nevertheless, the risk of performing ERCP can be life-threatening. All this forces researcher to look for new, effective and safer methods. In recent years, non-invasive methods of preoperative diagnosis of MS, such as spiral computed tomography, magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography, have been developing [9, 12]. Nagakawa T. et al. (1997) note that computed tomography (CT) does not provide any additional information in comparison with abdominal ultrasound or ERCP [21]. Only 79% of stones that are detected in the gallbladder by ultrasound can be visualized with CT [21]. However, this research method plays a significant role in the differential diagnosis with cholangiocarcinoma, gallbladder cancer, compression of the common bile duct by metastases in the hepatic hilus [9, 20]. There is another point of view on this research method. Nagakawa T. et al. (1997) in their work noted the high sensitivity, specificity and accuracy, 93%, 98% and 94%, respectively, of spiral computed tomography after performing infusion cholangiography.

Magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography is a new and still poorly studied method for diagnosing MS. A number of authors consider this research method as the most promising for the verification of this syndrome [9, 21]. E.C.H. Budzinskiy S. A. et al. (2019) in their work talk about the advantages of laparoscopic ultrasound of the pancreatoduodenal region [9]. During surgery, if MS is suspected, this diagnostic method allows real-time construction of a multi-plane image of the bile ducts at different angles, but at present it remains inaccessible and insufficiently studied. In general, despite the variety of diagnostic methods, it is often not possible to diagnose MS before surgery. Such a situation during the operation can disorient the surgeon and create the danger of injury to the common bile duct, mistakenly considered to be the gallbladder or a wide cystic duct. Thus, the lack of universal preoperative methods for examining MS calls for the development of optimal diagnostic tactics. There are two main directions in the treatment of MS in modern surgery: X-ray endoscopic methods, surgical interventions. X-ray endoscopic techniques can be used as the first stage of a surgical procedure as a preoperative preparation or as an independent method of treating patients with MS in the case of a high anesthetic risk [2, 11]. Among the disadvantages of REV, the authors distinguish the following: radiation exposure to patients and staff; high cost of endoscopic and X-ray equipment; the impossibility of eliminating the narrowing of the lumen of the proximal common bile duct [2, 24].

According to the literature, the methods of operative access and options for operations in Mirizzi syndrome vary greatly: for example, some authors attribute this syndrome to absolute contraindications to laparoscopic cholecystectomy [1, 2, 13]. Lledó J. B. et al. (2014) in a review of the literature on the use of the laparoscopic technique in MS indicates 40% conversion of access, 20% of complications, and 6% of reoperations [19]. However, there are a number of publications, the authors of which indicate the possibility of using the laparoscopic technique under certain con-

ditions. So, Lai E. C. H., Lau W. Y. (2006) indicate the possibility of using the laparoscopic approach by an experienced surgeon only in the first type of MS [17].

The most common operation for the first type of MS is cholecystectomy, supplemented by drainage of the common bile duct [2, 17, 18]. In the presence of a biliary fistula, it is necessary to separate it with the subsequent restoration of the integrity of the common bile duct. As one of the options for closing the common bile duct defect, used by most surgeons, is the elimination of the common bile duct wall defect with a specially left part of the gallbladder [2, 10, 15] However, Waisberg J. et al. (2005) suggest that it is theoretically logical that the retained gallbladder tissue may increase the risk of developing residual choledocholithiasis [27].

Pugaev A. V. et al. (2019) in the presence of a cholecystobiliary fistula, it is recommended to perform plasty of the common bile duct with temporary stents. The authors explain the need for temporary stenting by the presence of long-term inflammatory changes in the area of the hepatoduodenal ligament, due to which MS can be considered as a "model of damage to the bile ducts." With significant damage to the wall of the common bile duct involved in the fistula, a number of surgeons indicate the need to form a biliodigestive anastomosis: choledocho-duodenoanastomosis, choledocho-jejunoanastomosis, cholecysto-choledochojejunoanastomosis [22, 23].

During operations for MS, there remains a high risk of developing intra- and postoperative complications [1, 2, 6]. The most common complication after surgery for MS is stricture of the common bile duct. According to Zhang J., Perera P., Beard R. (2020) of 46 patients operated on with MS, stricture of the common hepatic duct developed in 6.5%. The results of operations on the so-called lost drainage, performed in four patients with the first form of MS ("stenosing" form), Vorobey A. V. et al. (2018) is rated as positive [27]. However, the reasons for the removal of drains from the ducts are immediately given, such as the development of jaundice, obstruction of the drains caused by the formation of small stones, the deposition of salts on the walls of the drainages and the accumulation of putty detritus, which leads to repeated attacks of cholangitis. The greatest difficulty for surgical treatment is presented by patients with significant destruction of the common bile duct wall. It is noted that the higher the degree of destruction of the common bile duct wall (III – IV type MS according to C.K. McSherry et al., 1982), the higher the level of postoperative mortality [2, 6, 26]. In type III – IV MS, most surgeons adhere to the position of the need to apply choledochojejunostomy.

Thus, today MS is one of the complications of gallstone disease, in the diagnosis and surgical tactics of which there are a number of unresolved issues. Despite a wide range of surgical techniques for this syndrome, the results of treatment to date are not entirely satisfactory. The presence of MS in a patient during surgery increases the risk of intra- and postoperative complications. Difficulties in diagnosing Mirizzi's syndrome, the danger of damage to the bile duct, few observations, as well as a fairly wide range of surgical treatment methods determine the relevance of studying this problem. The introduction of modern methods for diagnosing MS and the development of rational surgical tactics, depending on the type of syndrome, will make it possible to improve the treatment of patients with this complication of cholelithiasis.

References:

1. Abkian E. et al. S3326 A Rare Case of Type 1 Mirizzi Syndrome //Official journal of the American College of Gastroenterology| ACG. – 2020. – T. 115. – C. S1734.
2. Akbarov M. M. The analysis of surgical treatment of post-traumatic scar strictures Of magisterial bile ducts and biliodigestive anastomoses //Central Asian Journal of Medicine. – 2018. – T. 2018. – №. 2. – C. 5-19.
3. Albertson M. J. Mirizzi Syndrome //Proceedings of UCLA Health. – 2019. – T. 23.
4. Antoniou S. A., Antoniou G. A., Makridis C. Laparoscopic treatment of Mirizzi syndrome: a systematic review // Surgical endoscopy. – 2010. – T. 24. – №. 1. – C. 33-39.

5. Baer H. U. et al. Management of the Mirizzi syndrome and the surgical implications of cholecystcholedochal fistula //British Journal of Surgery. – 1990. – Т. 77. – №. 7. – С. 743-745.
6. Bakhtiozina D. et al. The first experience of indirect peroral cholangio-pancreatoscopy using the spyglass ds system (BSC) in Russia //Endoscopy. – 2019. – Т. 51. – №. 04. – С. eP112.
7. Bellamlih H. et al. Mirizzi's syndrome: a rare cause of biliary tract obstruction: about a case and review of the literature //The Pan African medical journal. – 2017. – Т. 27. – С. 45-45.
8. Beltrán M. A. Mirizzi syndrome: history, current knowledge and proposal of a simplified classification //World journal of gastroenterology: WJG. – 2012. – Т. 18. – №. 34. – С. 4639.
9. Chen H. et al. Current trends in the management of Mirizzi Syndrome: A review of literature //Medicine. – 2018. – Т. 97. – №. 4.
10. Ergashev F. R. et al. Damage to the main bile ducts: the frequency and causes of their occurrence, risk factors, classification, diagnosis and surgical tactics (literature review) //International scientific review of the problems of natural sciences and medicine. – 2019. – P. 82-96.
11. Gafurovich N. F. et al. Analysis of the results of surgical treatment of “fresh” damage to the bile ducts //European science review. – 2018. – №. 11-12.
12. Hassan R. et al. Mirizzi syndrome: a challenging diagnosis. Case report //Il Giornale di chirurgia. – 2019. – Т. 40. – №. 3. – С. 193-198.
13. Ibrarullah M. D., Mishra T., Das A. P. Mirizzi syndrome //Indian Journal of Surgery. – 2008. – Т. 70. – №. 6. – С. 281-287.
14. Khadjibaev A., Khadjibaev F., Tilemisov S. Retrograde and percutaneous transhepatic interventions in benign mechanical jaundice //HPB. – 2019. – Т. 21. – С. S888.
15. Lai E. C. H., Lau W. Y. Mirizzi syndrome: history, present and future development //ANZ journal of surgery. – 2006. – Т. 76. – №. 4. – С. 251-257.
16. Lledó J. B. et al. Update on the diagnosis and treatment of Mirizzi syndrome in laparoscopic era: our experience in 7 years //Surgical Laparoscopy Endoscopy & Percutaneous Techniques. – 2014. – Т. 24. – №. 6. – С. 495-501.
17. Mamontov I. N. Diagnosis and classification of Mirizzi syndrome //Klinicheskaja khirurgiia. – 2020. – Т. 87. – №. 3-4. – С. 26-30.
18. Nagakawa T. et al. A new classification of Mirizzi syndrome from diagnostic and therapeutic viewpoints //Hepato-gastroenterology. – 1997. – Т. 44. – №. 13. – С. 63-67.
19. Pugaev A. V. et al. Mirizzi syndrome management (in Russian only) //Khirurgiia. – 2019. – №. 3. – С. 42-47.
20. Tarasenko S. V. et al. Clinical case of endovideoscopic treatment of choledocholithiasis complicated with Mirizzi's syndrome //IP Pavlov Russian Medical Biological Herald. – 2018. – Т. 26. – №. 4. – С. 533-537.
21. Tataria R. D. et al. Mirizzi's syndrome: A scoring system for preoperative diagnosis //Saudi journal of gastroenterology: official journal of the Saudi Gastroenterology Association. – 2018. – Т. 24. – №. 5. – С. 274.
22. Valderrama-Treviño A. I. et al. Updates in Mirizzi syndrome //Hepatobiliary surgery and nutrition. – 2017. – Т. 6. – №. 3. – С. 170.
23. Vorobey A. V. et al. Surgical Treatment of Biliobiliary and Biliodigestive Fistulas //Annaly khirurgicheskoy gepatologii= Annals of HPB Surgery. – 2018. – Т. 21. – №. 3. – С. 92-100.
24. Waisberg J. et al. Benign obstruction of the common hepatic duct (Mirizzi syndrome): diagnosis and operative management //Arquivos de gastroenterologia. – 2005. – Т. 42. – №. 1. – С. 13-18.
25. Xu X. et al. Mirizzi syndrome: our experience with 27 cases in PUMC Hospital //Chinese Medical Sciences Journal. – 2013. – Т. 28. – №. 3. – С. 172-177.
26. Zhang J., Perera P., Beard R. A Case of Mirizzi Syndrome with Erosion into the Common Hepatic Duct //Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery. – 2020. – С. 1-2.
27. Zhong H., Gong J. P. Mirizzi syndrome: experience in diagnosis and treatment of 25 cases //The American Surgeon. – 2012. – Т. 78. – №. 1. – С. 61-65.